



INTERSTATE COMMISSION FOR JUVENILES

Serving Juveniles While Protecting Communities

ICJ Annual Report **FY 2013**



Mission Statement:

The Interstate Commission for Juveniles, the governing body of the Interstate Compact for Juveniles, through means of joint and cooperative action among the compacting states, preserves child welfare and promotes public safety interests of citizens, including victims of juvenile offenders, by providing enhanced accountability, enforcement, visibility, and communication in the return of juveniles who have left their state of residence without permission and in the cooperative supervision of delinquent juveniles who travel or relocate across state lines.

Vision Statement:

The Interstate Commission for Juveniles will promote public safety, victims' rights, and juvenile accountability that is balanced with safeguarding those juveniles.

Letter from the Chair

As we reflect on FY 2013, I am amazed at how much the Commission accomplished in five years. This was a year of progress and another year for Compact members to work together toward the goal of improving public safety and juvenile accountability.

I complete my final year as ICJ Chair with deep gratitude to my fellow Executive Committee members. For each of us, it has been an honor to bring our financial, operational, and strategic planning expertise to this organization. We have worked hard to ensure the Commission's priorities and decisions reflect the values of all those it serves. In that spirit, I am proud to present the strategic initiatives being championed by the Executive Committee for adoption at the 2013 Annual Business Meeting.

Implementing an electronic database system for this Compact is a tremendous milestone for ICJ. This was a complex project and I want to recognize the Technology Committee's ability to keep it on track and deliver a system that will serve this Commission by providing uniformity in the management of interstate cases. The ICJ continued collaborating with the Association of Administrators for the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (AA-ICPC) to examine scenarios where both compacts apply to the same cases, which lead to rule amendments that addressed concurrent jurisdiction. The workgroup's initiative brings us closer to providing best practices and guidelines to address cases of mutual interest.

You can learn more about all of these efforts as well as other activities of the Commission in this annual report. None of these accomplishments would be possible without the generous contributions and dedication of the national office staff and our members. You have the profound gratitude of the juvenile justice community for all you do to ensure a bright future for ICJ.

Terry L. Clark, Chair



Terry L. Clark (PA), Chair



*Summer Foxworth (CO),
Vice Chair*



*Philip Cox (OR),
Treasurer*

Looking Ahead to Fiscal Year 2014

Strategic Initiatives


1. Enhance both compliance and enforcement within ICJ.
2. Minimize changes to the rules and increase understanding.
3. Refine processes with stabilization of rules and forms along with enhancing features of JIDS.
4. Enhance state ICJ compact office staff participation in decision-making, meetings, missions, and goals.
5. Enhance training and promote awareness of ICJ.

The Commission moves into FY 2014 with fixed strategic initiatives, designed to enhance compliance, stabilize rules, improve JIDS, increase staff participation, and promote awareness of ICJ. The committees serving the Commission are tasked with carrying out these goals in the years to come. Implementing these carefully planned initiatives supports the Commission's vision to promote public safety, victims' rights, and juvenile accountability.

The use of JIDS now provides data for compliance and statistical reports. In FY 2014, training and technology will direct the Commission's discussions, as more field staff utilize the database to manage interstate cases and collect standardized information. Ongoing training, technical support, and implementing database enhancements continue to improve the user experience, while maintaining data integrity and accuracy.

On October 7-9, the Commission will gather for the 2013 Annual Business Meeting in Tempe, Arizona. Participants can look forward to a rule amendment discussion, as well as specialized JIDS training. In addition, a panel consisting of a victim's representative, judge, and legal counsel will host a session on runways and the ICJ.

Challenges the Commission faces in the next fiscal year include legally enforcing state council compliance, continuation of the in-depth analysis of rules for consistency and organization, and approving best practices for AAICPC/ICJ dual-involvement cases. Rule changes that have a JIDS' impact will also be a priority in early FY 2014.



AAICPC ICJ MOU Workgroup Report

ICJ Co-Chair: Rose Ann Bisch (MN)
 Judy Miller (AR)
 Mike Farmer (CA)
 Maria Genca (CT)
 Alicia Ehlers (ID)
 Julie Hawkins (MO)
 Dale Dodd (NM)
 Dawne Gannon (SC)
 AAICPC Co-Chair: Bruce Rudberg (CA)

Rosalind Hyde (CA)
 Caryl Jefferson (FL)
 Sharon F. Curry (MA)
 Shannon Foster (NV)
 Jason McCrea (PA)
 Cheri Stewart (TN)
 Gina Gelnett (TX)
 Stephen Pennypacker (FL), Ex Officio
 Carla Fufts (DC), Ex-Officio

In FY 2013, legal counsel assisted the workgroup as they drafted best practices based on case scenarios. The workgroup continues its mission to foster communication, collaboration, and cooperation between ICJ and AAICPC. This year, the group is recommending an ICJ rule amendment to resolve concerns regarding the eligibility of ICPC youth for ICJ services. This proposed amendment states that supervision and placement through the ICPC would not be precluded for juveniles who are placed pursuant to the ICJ and subject to the ICPC.

Another ICJ Rule proposed by the workgroup outlines procedures for returning ICPC run-aways through ICJ if the juvenile remains in custody beyond 24 hours. The goal of these proposed rule amendments is to provide clarity for states when handling a case of mutual interest to both compacts. In FY 2014, the workgroup will focus on education and training efforts to promote best practices.

Meetings

- Held the 2012 Annual Business Meeting in Kansas City, Missouri
- Organized and hosted the Executive Committee face-to-face meeting in Lexington, Kentucky
- Organized and hosted the Rules Committee face-to-face meeting in Lexington, Kentucky

Compliance Committee Report

Chair: Sharon Harrigfeld (ID) Commissioner
 Barbara Murray (AK), Commissioner
 Summer Foxworth (CO), Commissioner
 Jane Seigel (IN), Commissioner
 Mark Boger (ME), Commissioner
 Fred White (MA), Designee
 Anne Connor (NV), Commissioner
 Mollie Davis (NV), Designee

Dale Dodd (NM), Commissioner
 Nancy Allard (SD), Commissioner
 Mike Lacy (WV), Commissioner
 Randall Wagner (WV), Designee
 Alicia Ehlers (ID), Ex-Officio
 Gloria Soja (MT), Ex-Officio
 Casey Laurie (NH), Ex-Officio
 Jason McCrea (PA), Ex-Officio

While more than half the member states have an established state council, some found it difficult to sustain their council membership. Securing legislative appointments and coordinating meeting times hinders the process of creating and sustaining a state council.

An active state council can be an effective vehicle for advocating changes in state legislation and Compact rules and procedures. State councils may also coordinate state-level trainings to ensure compliance and awareness of ICJ rules by field staff, law enforcement, attorneys, and judges. In addition to the benefits a state council provides, forming a council is required under Article IX of the Compact.

Therefore, at the Compliance Committee's recommendation, the Executive Committee approved taking enforcement action on eleven states that had not formed their state council. Legal counsel sent letters to the states in default, prompting ten states to finalize appointments and organize their council.

In May 2013, Commissioner Harrigfeld resigned as the Compliance Committee Chair. Summer Foxworth (CO) accepted the appointment to Chair the Compliance Committee for the remainder of the term.

Information Technology Committee Report

Chair: Traci Marchand (NC), Commissioner
Sherry Jones (MD), Commissioner
Fred White (MA), Designee
Melonie Taylor-Gore (MS), Designee
Anne Connor (NV), Commissioner
Dale Dodd (NM), Commissioner

Robyn Peterson (OH), Commissioner
Shelley Hagan (WI), Commissioner
Michael Farmer (CA), Ex-Officio
Gloria Soja (MT), Ex-Officio
Jason McCrea (PA), Ex Officio

Early this fiscal year, member states prepared for a historical change in the way the Commission conducts business. After months of testing, readiness meetings and a substantial training effort, the Commission launched the Juvenile Interstate Data System (JIDS) in October 2012. Implementing JIDS nationally was not without problems and issues; nonetheless, in the early weeks states processed hundreds of actions using JIDS.

Today, JIDS tracks information for more than 10,800 juveniles and is used by over 4,000 users. The implementation of an electronic data system is a historic event for the Compact. Since the initial implementation, the Information Technology Committee is busy considering enhancements and improvements to JIDS, as well as procedures to maintain credible data.

In addition to JIDS' launch, the Commission upgraded its website to accommodate mobile devices and improve the user experience. More than 6,000 users receive the weekly newsletter and over 23,000 individuals visited the Commission's website this year.

Website


Metric	FY13	FY12	% change
Total number of visits	63,544	38,790	64%
Average visits per day	174	106	64%
Total page Views	296,054	177,457	67%
Total number of visits by a mobile and tablet devices*	1,325	526	152%
Total number of registered users	7,456	4,468	67%
Total number of unique visitors	23,623	15,383	54%

Advisory Opinions Published in Fiscal Year 2013


03-2012 Released August 23, 2012: For purposes of the detention and return of a probation or parole absconder who is an 'adult' in the home/demanding state, but is still a 'juvenile,' in the holding state, must the holding state treat that person as an adult or does the law of the holding state apply?

04-2012 Released July 26, 2012, amended April 2 2013: Issuing a travel permit for a juvenile subject to a delinquency petition but is not yet adjudicated

05-2012 Released July 26, 2013: Whether minors adjudicated juvenile delinquents in Hawaii and referred to residential treatment programs in Utah and California but who do not qualify for transfer under the ICPC, may be transferred under the ICJ?



JIDS Files by Type Since Launch



Total cases added to JIDS from November 5, 2012 to June 30, 2013 = 11,609

It is the Committee's intent to increase understanding and interpretation of the ICJ rules by minimizing rule changes.

Rules Committee Report

Chair: Rose Ann Bisch (MN), Commissioner
 Judy Miller (AR), Designee
 Sharon Harrigfeld (ID), Commissioner
 Mark Boger (ME), Commissioner
 Julie Hawkins (MO), Commissioner
 Kari Rumbaugh (NE), Commissioner
 Eric Borrin (NH), Commissioner
 Phillip Cox (OR), Commissioner
 John Gusz (NJ), Ex-Officio
 Hon. Tim Henderson, Ex-Officio
 Richard L. Masters (KY), General Counsel

As part of their ongoing mission to research and prepare rules, the Rules Committee focused their efforts this year to ensure consistency between rules and processes. Their deliberations led to reorder the rules for practicality and uniformity. The Committee held eleven teleconferences and one face-to-face meeting to accomplish this task, which will continue to be their focus in FY 2014.

The Committee is proposing 25 amendments at the 2013 Annual Business Meeting in October. A round-table discussion is on the Annual Meeting agenda, to provide Commissioners and Designees a forum for discussion of the rule amendments prior to vote. The Rules Committee is addressing the strategic initiatives approved by the Executive Committee by proposing a biannual rulemaking cycle and eliminating amendments from the floor of the general session. It is the Committee's intent to increase understanding and interpretation of the ICJ rules by minimizing rule changes.

National Office Staff

Ashley H. Lippert
 Executive Director
 859.721.1062
 alippert@juvenilecompact.org

Jack Branum
 Project Manager
 859.721.1061
 jbranum@juvenilecompact.org

Jennifer Adkins
 Training and Administrative
 Coordinator
 859.721.1063
 jadkins@juvenilecompact.org

Emma Goode
 Administrative and Logistics
 Coordinator
 859.721.1062
 egoode@juvenilecompact.org

Training, Education and Public Relations Committee Report

Chair: Jean Hall (FL), Commissioner
 Patrick Pendergast (AL), Designee
 Barbara Murray (AK), Commissioner
 Ted Forrest (MI), Commissioner
 Kari Rumbaugh (NE), Commissioner
 Traci Marchand (NC), Commissioner
 Phil Cox (OR), Commissioner
 Sherry Bolden-Rivers (TN), Commissioner
 Maria Genca (CT), Ex-Officio
 Gloria Soja (MT), Ex-Officio
 Robert Mercado (NJ), Ex-Officio
 Jason McCrea (PA), Ex-Officio
 JoAnn Niksa (RI), Ex-Officio
 Trudy Gregorie (DC), Ex-Officio
 Maureen Blaha (IL), Ex-Officio

The Commission expanded training efforts in FY 2013 to accommodate JIDS' launch. In addition to updating existing training resources, the Committee published a training bulletin outlining the requirements of the amended Travel Permit rule. In addition, the Committee produced ten workflow tutorials, offering users a step-by-step guide to navigate processes in JIDS, such as the transfer of supervision and returns.

The National Office reported a substantial increase in the On Demand self-paced training usage. By adding JIDS training modules to the five ICJ Rules modules, usage increased by well over 500 percent since October 2012. In addition, the National Office provided six states with Training and Technical assistance, accommodating 100% of all requests received.

The Training and Technical Assistance Policy exists to provide training, technical assistance and support to member states and other criminal justice professionals involved in interstate compact business. In addition, the policy ensures effective training opportunities are available to interstate professionals, which will increase their knowledge of the Commission's rules, practices, technology and compliance. The policy assists member states in defining operational or programmatic problems specific to the Interstate Compact for Juveniles and recommends solutions to these challenging issues.

National Office Training	
Total number of live training sessions conducted:	62
Total number of individuals attending live trainings	1,850
Total number of individuals completing live JIDS trainings	1,497
Total number of On Demand courses completed	3,923

“The National Office reported a substantial increase in the On Demand self-paced training usage.”



Blue & Co., LLC / 301 East Main Street, Suite 1100 / Lexington, KY 40507
 main 859.253.1100 fax 859.253.1384 email blue@blueandco.com

Finance Committee Report

Article VII, Finance, Section D

The Interstate Commission shall keep accurate accounts of all receipts and disbursements. The receipts and disbursements of the Interstate Commission shall be subject to the audit and accounting procedures established under its by-laws. However, all receipts and disbursements of funds handled by the Interstate Commission shall be audited yearly by a certified or licensed public accountant and the report of the audit shall be included in and become part of the annual report of the Interstate Commission.

Chair: Patrick Pendergast (AL), Designee
 Treasurer: Philip Cox (OR), Commissioner
 Summer Foxworth (CO), Commissioner
 Sharon Harrigfeld (ID), Commissioner
 Alicia Ehlers (ID), Designee
 Thomas Southard (IA), Commissioner
 Gerry Prine (IA), Designee
 Paul Gibson (KY), Commissioner
 Eric Borrin (NH), Commissioner
 Pat Welcome (VI), Commissioner
 Shelley Hagan (WI), Commissioner
 Gloria Soja (MT), Ex-Officio
 Jeff Patnode (WA), Ex-Officio

The Commission entered FY 2013 with a healthy reserve, allowing the Finance Committee to recommend funding for JIDS enhancements that the Executive Committee approved. Discussion this year centered on the current dues structure, implementing enhancements to JIDS, increasing training, and reducing Annual Business Meeting expenses. Moreover, the Committee approved both the FY 2014 and 2015 budgets.

The National Office continues to be a careful steward of the Commission's finances and is diligent in its efforts to maximize the efficiency of the Commission's operations. The Finance Committee operates under a twofold mission: to be vigilant about good stewardship practices in economic uncertainty and to continue to build a reserve fund, allowing the Commission to carry out its goals.

In FY 2013, the Commission realized the following accomplishments:

- Amended the lowest dues tier
- Approved \$50,000 increase for JIDS enhancements
- Reduced budget for 2013 Annual Business Meeting
- Finished the fiscal year 20% under budget
- Maintains a reserve fund, with a current balance of \$1,058,888

Budget	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014
Revenue	\$946,288.40	\$936,588.80	\$939,000.00
Expenses	\$578,764.75	\$721,522.57	\$805,878.52
Balance	\$367,523.65	\$215,016.23	\$133,121.49

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Governing Board
 The Council of State Governments
 Lexington, Kentucky

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of The Council of State Governments (a nonprofit organization, the Council) as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of activities and changes in net assets and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Council's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Council as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the results of its operations, its changes in net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 11, 2012 on our consideration of the Council's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements. The supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic consolidated financial statements or to the basic consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Blue & Co., LLC

December 11, 2012

“In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.”

Rule Amendments effective in Fiscal Year 2013

- Rule 1-101: Definitions
 - “Substantial Compliance” amended | Effective April 1, 2013
- Rule 3-101: Forms amended | Effective November 1, 2012
- Rule 3-102: Optional Forms amended | Effective November 1, 2012
- Rule 3-103: Form Modifications or Revisions rescinded | Effective November 1, 2012
- Rule 4-102: Sending and Receiving Referrals amended | Effective April 1, 2013
- Rule 4-103: Transfer of Supervision Procedures for Juvenile Sex Offenders amended | Effective April 1, 2013
- Rule 4-106: Closure of Cases amended | Effective April 1, 2013
- Rule 5-102: Travel Permits amended | Effective April 1, 2013
- Rule 6-102: Voluntary Return of Out-of-State Juveniles | Effective April 1, 2013
- Rule 6-103: Non-Voluntary Return of Out-of-State Juveniles amended | Effective November 1, 2012
- Rule 6-104A: Absconder under ICJ Supervision added | Effective April 1, 2013



Out-of-State Detention Ad Hoc Committee Report

Chair: Fred White (MA), Designee
 Ken Mysogland (CT), Commissioner
 Maria Genca (CT), Designee
 Sharon Harrigfeld (ID), Commissioner
 Alicia Ehlers (ID), Designee
 Julie Hawkins (MO), Commissioner
 Philip Cox (OR), Commissioner
 Carol Gillespie (OR) Designee
 Patricia Welcome (VI) Commissioner
 Michael Lacy (WV) Commissioner
 Gary Hartman (WY) Commissioner
 Jason McCrea (PA) Ex-Officio

The Out-of-State Detention Ad Hoc Committee held two teleconferences to examine the confinement of juveniles in out-of-state detention facilities between adjoining member states that contract outside of the bounds of the Compact. The Committee discussed current practices by member states and the legal implications and requirements of due process.

ICJ Legal Counsel provided a historical account of practices and procedures used prior to ratifying the new Compact. Legal Counsel advised the Committee to propose a new rule addressing jurisdiction and liability issues.

Regional Report

South Regional Representative: Judy Miller (AR)
East Regional Representative: Fred White (MA)
Midwest Regional Representative: Rose Ann Bisch (MN)
West Regional Representative: Anne Connor (NV)

JIDS was a key topic at the regional meetings in FY 2013. Representatives moderated discussion on workflow processes, common issues, training, and enhancements. Region meetings provide a supportive environment for member states to discuss local, state, and regional issues, as well as rules and ICJ processes. Rules Committee Chair Bisch presented information to each region regarding the strategic initiatives adopted by the Executive Committee.

Damian Seymour (DE) assumed the position of East Regional Representative upon Representative White's retirement in May.

Other topics of discussion throughout the regions include:

- Treatment funding;
- Department of Human Services runaways;
- Defining the term "reporting instructions" regarding sex offenders;
- Confidentiality of JIDS;
- Georgia's ICJ compact status;
- JIDS training;
- ICJ runaways involved in human trafficking; and
- Juvenile offenders in out-of-state federal prisons

Ex-Officio Members

American Parole and Probation Association (APPA)
Association of Administrators of the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (AAICPC)
Association of Juvenile Compact Administrators (AJCA)
Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators (CJCA)
Conference of Chief Justices (CCJ)
Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA)
International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)
Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision (ICAOS)
National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG)
National Children's Advocacy Center (NCAC)
National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL)
National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ)
National Center for Victims of Crime (NCVC)
National Juvenile Detention Association (NJDA)
National Runaway Switchboard (NRS)
National Sheriffs' Association (NSA)

“JIDS was a key topic at the regional meetings in FY 2013.”

Annual Statistics Report

The following charts reflect the interstate movement of juveniles for FY 2013. The first chart details the return of runaways, escapees, absconders, and juveniles charged delinquent. It includes the number of juveniles coming in ("From Other States Returned") along with the number of juveniles sent back to the home/demanding state ("From Your State Returned").

For FY 2013, states reported a total of 2,164 runaways, escapees, absconders, and juveniles charged delinquent returned to the home/demanding state, with 2,381 from other states being returned. In addition, 224 airport supervision requests were met in FY 2013.

The second chart illustrates the movement of juveniles under supervision. Specified by probation or parole and incoming or outgoing, member states also report the number of cases terminated, whether it was sex-offender related, failed placements, or returns based on failed placements.

For probation, 263 cases were reported as failed placements, whether for violations or other reasons; however, only 229 of those cases resulted in a return. Eighty-nine percent of parole cases reported for failed placement were returned.

Transfer Data Comparison: Fiscal Year 2012 to Fiscal Year 2013

Fiscal year 2013 experienced a significant increase in incoming probation (34.18 %) and parole cases (38.94 %), as well as outgoing probation cases (31.74 %). The number of outgoing parole cases experienced only a marginal increase of 4.46 percent. Several factors may contribute to the increase in transfers from FY 2012 to FY 2013. One prominent influence on transfer totals is the lack of data with and for the state of Georgia, as it is not a member to the compact.

Sex Offender Related Transfers: Parole and Probation

In FY 2012, 15.52 percent of all parole cases transferred through the compact was sex-offender related. That percentage increased to 16.20 percent in FY 2013.

For probation, 7.62 percent of all probation cases were sex-offender related in FY 2012. In FY 2013, the percentage increased to 10.06 percent.

Policy and Administration


- Adopted a posthumous resolution policy
- Adopted a record-retention policy
- Adopted a disposal of assets policy
- Sent out 58 newsletters
- Published three advisory opinions
- Published an age matrix
- Invited the Conference of Chief Justices to join the Commission as an ex officio member
- Entered a memorandum of understanding with the Association of Administrators of the Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children

Annual Data Collection Report INTERSTATE MOVEMENT OF JUVENILES July 1, 2012–June 30, 2013

STATE	From Your State Returned										From Other States Returned										Airport Sup Req Met	O/S Conf In Other State	O/s Conf In Your State
	Run	Esc	Absc	JCDs	Total	Form I Sent	Not Ret	Form II Sent	Not Ret	Run	Esc	Absc	JCDs	Total	Form I	Not Ret	Form II	Not Ret					
Alabama	17	1	11	0	29	0	0	0	0	7	0	14	0	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Arizona	30	0	19	26	75	0	0	0	0	35	0	29	31	95	0	0	0	0	11				
Arkansas	15	1	5	0	21	0	0	2	0	51	0	10	0	61	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
California	22	0	45	4	71	0	0	4	0	24	1	25	6	56	0	0	3	0					
Colorado	17	0	39	7	63	0	0	3	0	26	0	20	5	51	0	0	2	0	16	0	0	0	
Connecticut	4	1	1	3	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Delaware	2	1	15	0	18	2		6				6	6	1				0	6	18			
District of Columbia	1	0	2	71	74	0		17	8	1	0	0	61	62	0		1	0	0	0	0	0	
Florida	83	0	48	72	203	3	0	2	0	104	4	74	111	293	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	
Hawaii	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Idaho	8	2	42	2	54	0	0	1	0	7	2	23	0	32	0	0	1	0					
Illinois PA	53	0	39	8	100	15	0	6	1	38		7	8	53	2	0	5	0	32	0	0	0	
Illinois PR			9		9							20		20									
Iowa	2	0	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Indiana	26				26	1		1		21				21	0		0	1	0	0			
Kansas	19	0	45	5	69	0	0	2	0	12	0	7	3	22	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Kentucky	33	0	2	20	55	0	0	0	0	22	5	22	0	49	2	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	
Louisiana	30	0	10	5	45					47	0	15	8	70				0	0	0			
Maine	4	1	3	1	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Maryland			76		76	1		3				113	113	15	6			0	0	5			
Massachusetts	0	0	19	0	19	0	0	0	0					0									
Michigan	21	7	9	0	37	1	0	0	0	11	2	10	0	23	0	0	1	0	13	0	0	0	
Minnesota	23	0	9	11	43	0	0			8	0	14	8	30	2	0	0	4	0	0			
Mississippi	3		1		4	0	0	0	0	20				20	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Missouri	17	1	14	2	34	1	0	2	0	23	0	66	3	92	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Montana	10	0	18	1	29	0	0	0	0	12	0	18	2	32	0			0					
Nebraska	22	0	20	0	42	1	0	0	0	18	0	8	4	30	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
Nevada	13	1	23	8	45	1		1		14	0	87	3	104	1			3	0	0			
New Hampshire	4	3	10	2	19	0	0	0	0	6	1	12	0	19	0	0	0	0	3	17			
New Jersey PA	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0			3	2	5	0	0	0	0					
New Jersey PR	4	1	22	0	27	1	0	1	0	8	0	28	2	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
New Mexico	35	1	19	2	57	0	0	1	0	12	0	24	9	45	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
New York	18		43		61	0		1	0	16		48		64	1	0	2	1	3				
North Carolina	15	0	2	2	19	1	0	2	0	58	0	5	2	65	2	0	5	1	33	0	0	0	
North Dakota	3	0	0	2	5	1	0	0	0	7	0	3	0	10	0		0	0				5	
Ohio	18	0	30	12	60	0	0	2	0	44	0	20	26	90	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Oklahoma	38	10	4	3	55	0	0	1	0	26	23	2	4	55	0	0	1	0	0				
Oregon	15	0	38	0	53	0	0	1	1	43	0	41	0	84	1	1	3	0	3				
Pennsylvania	17	1	40	10	68	1	0	2	0	13	0	23	14	50	1	0	2	0	11	0	0	0	
Rhode Island	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2		
South Carolina	7	1	8	6	22	2	2	5	1	26	0	11	4	41	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
South Dakota	10		27		37			2		11		13		24			3		0				
Tennessee	29	0	38	0	67	0	0	1	0	22	0	35	0	57	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	
Texas	53	1	44	11	109	2	1	4	1	97	2	56	11	166	1	0	2	0	65				
Utah	6		28		34					32		14		46	1			3					
Vermont	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Virgin Islands	0				0	0		0						0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Virginia	5	1	72	0	78	0	0	5	0	3	0	46	0	49	0	0	4	0	3	0	2		
Washington	26	0	36	2	64	0	0	3	0	14	0	26	4	44	0		0	3					
West Virginia	10	0	3	0	13	0	0	0	0	4	2	8	7	21	1	0	0						
Wisconsin	13	0	16	0	29	1	0	2	0	4	0	10	0	14	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	
Wyoming	5	0	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	812	36	1018	298	2164	35	3	83	12	959	44	1031	347	2381	38	8	49	4	224	10	50		




Annual Data Collection Report Key
Run = Runaway
Esc = Escapee
Absc = Absconder
Acc Del = Accused Delinquent
Airport Sup Req Met = Airport Supervision Request Met
O/S Conf In Other State = Out-of-State Confined Juveniles From Your State In Other State(s)
O/S Conf In Your State = Out-of-State Confined Juveniles From Other State(s) In Your State
JCDs = Juveniles Charged Delinquent




Annual Data Collection Report INTERSTATE MOVEMENT OF JUVENILES

June 1, 2012–June 30, 2013



Supervision Comparison for Fiscal Years 2011, 2012 and 2013



Annual Data Collection Report Key

- Inc** = Incoming
- Sex Off** = Sex Offender
- Inc Term** = Incoming Terminated
- Out** = Outgoing
- Out Term** = Outgoing Terminated
- Fail Viol** = Failed Placement, Violator
- Ret** = Returned
- Inst Pub Facility** = Institutionalized in a Public Facility

STATE	Parole Supervision										Probation Supervision										Inst Pub Fac	
	Inc	Sex Off	Inc Term	Out	Sex Off	Out Term	Fail Viol	Ret	Fail Other	Ret	Inc	Sex Off	Inc Term	Out	Sex Off	Out Term	Fail Vio	Ret	Fail Other	Ret	In Other State	In Your State
Alabama	21	4		7	1					110	9		45	2								0
Alabama	33	6	12	13	8	7				173	18	55	54	9	21							
Alaska	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	4	16	58	6	13	0		0			0	0
Arizona	30	7	14	17	2	20	10	9	0	161	16	178	197	9	177	89	88	11	11		0	
Arkansas	13			11						76			67									0
California	32	6	29	4	1	8	0	0	0	249	38	206	431	44	303	0	0	0	0		0	
Colorado	48	6	25	65	11	44				221	20	113	499	61	269							0
Connecticut	5	0	3	8	0	6	1	1	1	38	3	17	17	2	7	2	2	1	1		0	
Delaware	2	1	0	16	3	11	0	0	0	82	7	78	114	12	101	0	0	0	0		0	
District of Columbia	8	1	1	22	0	7	0	0	0	94	1	30	27	0	5	0	0	0	0		0	
Florida	119	15	68	118	17	73	0	0	0	926	91	409	711	51	379	4	4	0	0		0	
Hawaii	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	11	7	0	16	1	1	1	1		0	
Idaho	12	4	5	30	10	17	2	2	0	80	11	40	237	6	131	3	3	0	0		0	
Illinois	18	9	4	27	6	8	6	6	0	167	15	81	442	18	181	1	1	1	0		0	
Iowa	88	18	52	4	2	2	0	0	0	316	32	140	120	26	62	0	0	0	0		0	
Indiana	9	1	2	6	1	1	0	0	0	193	29	97	294	45	143	0	0	0	0		0	
Kansas	34	7	27	53	17	16	1	1	0	116	11	71	287	39	126	0	0	0	0		0	
Kentucky	24	2	7	37	7	7	0	0	1	171	24	63	91	7	16	0	0	0	0		0	
Louisiana	22	4	10	20	1	8		1	0	167	20	57	186	25	72	3	1				0	
Maine	2	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	12	2	8	12	0	7	2	2	0	0		0	
Maryland	60	5	14	19	3	2	0	0	0	317	31	171	294	17	76	0	1	1	1		1	
Massachusetts	4	0	0	3	0	14	1	0	0													0
Michigan	15	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	1	75	19	1	7	1	1	0	0		0	
Minnesota	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	115	6	43	80	6	26	21	21	0			0	
Mississippi	10	3	10	2	0	6	0	0	0	84	1	93	63	0	55	2	2				0	
Missouri	275	34	34	44	4	39	3	3		191	10	178	33	2	21	4	4				0	
Montana	6	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	58	1	43	24	5	11	3	2	0			0	
Nebraska	12	2	8	37	1	22	3	3		45	2	19	102	2	38	2	0	0	0		0	
Nevada	15	2	15	16	0	17	1	1	0	149	11	128	93	13	98	0	0	0	0		0	
New Hampshire	4	0	4	1	0	0	3	3	0	29	3	20	33	1	18	4	4	1	1		0	
New Jersey	11	1	5	37	1	15	3	3	0	184	17	33	224	21	31	6	6				0	
New Mexico	19	4	7	40	3	7	2	2	0	144	21	59	258	8	128	3	2	0	0		0	
New York	21	1	14	31	2	20	4	4	6	463	63		170	13							0	
North Carolina	40	5	42	3	0	4	0	0		180	10	214	110	10	119	2	2	0			0	
North Dakota	10	3	4	4	1	3	0	0		59	7	25	88	3	39	0		0			0	
Ohio	29	6	10	25	10	12	1	1	0	206	31	63	187	87	139	0	0	0	0		0	
Oklahoma	17	7	15	3	0	9	0	0	1	163	18	144	46	6	55	0	0	1	0		2	
Oregon	16	9	11	16	3	14	4	4	0	173	13	121	90	10	35	8	8	2			0	
Pennsylvania	35	5	13	5	0	2	0	0	0	266	17	111	358	18	146	0	0	0	0		0	
Rhode Island	2	1	2				0	0	0	16	0	18	14	0	21	1	0	4	1		0	
South Carolina	18	1	15	10	1	15	4	0	3	88	10	93	94	7	99	19	6	27	27		0	
South Dakota	7	1	3	30	1	14	0	0	0	34	3	15	42	2	14	0	0	0	0		0	
Tennessee	16	1	29	44	6	62	5	4	0	89	3	132	100	4	91	1	1	1	0		0	
Texas	81	9	95	50	10	55	7	5	1	541	55	596	519	91	626	20	20	6	1		0	
Utah	5	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	63	6	29	49	15	16	3	3				0	
Vermont	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	8	0	4	0	0	0	0		0	
Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
Virginia	18	1	28	50	2	69				144	6	206	201	6	344						0	
Washington	54	16	42	44	24	41	0	0	0	350	43	233	316	76	268	0	0	1	0		0	
West Virginia	1	1	0				0	0	0	34	3	14	14	0	11	0	0	0	0		0	
Wisconsin	18	3	7	11	1	2	0			95	10	29	106	5	62	0					0	
Wyoming	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	76	8	24	38	3	20	0	0	0	0		0	
TOTAL	1331	215	697	984	160	681	62	54	14	7734	753	4599	7624	792	4647	205	185	58	44	1	3	



836 Euclid Avenue, Suite 322
Lexington, KY 40502
859-721-1062

www.juvenilecompact.org